UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,436	12/23/2003	Mark W. Rice	88066-5499	7526
28765 7596 WINSTON & STF		EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH	
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************			3767	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PI	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONT	ПG	03/08/2007	DAD	DED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				•
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Occurred		10/743,436	RICE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Elizabeth R. MacNeill	3767	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address :	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	anuary 2007	•	
2a)⊠		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osacution as to the morits is	
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	
	·	.x parte quayre, 1000 0.b. 11, 4		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 18-40 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	. •	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 18,19 and 22-40 is/are rejected.		•	
7)🛛	Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to.		•	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	·		
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Evaminer	
بــارە،	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
		diffiner. Note the attached Office	5 Adion of 101111 1 10-102.	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat	tion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application	
		, _ . 		

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendments submitted 31 January 2007.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 33 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, after "sufficiently" the word "long" or some synonym thereof is missing. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 18, 22-24, 26,28-32, 34-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peterson (US 5,649,912).

Peterson teaches an injector filling assembly comprising a cartridge housing (60), with a first end seal (66) and a second end stopper (62), an adapter (70) with thread (74), a post (72), and cap (73); and resilient retainers (21). Fig 4C: the post is too short to fully load the injector (30) and therefore the injector must be filled by vacuum/suction applied by withdrawing the plunger (32). As to claim 28 the term "substantial" is vague and encompasses any amount of medicament.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,436 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson in view of Reinhard (US 5,788,670).

Peterson does not disclose the use of a two-chamber cartridge. Reinhard discloses a two-chamber cartridge with a lyophilized medicament and a bypass channel. Fig 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a two-chamber cartridge in order to provide a lyophilized medicament to the patient.

5. Claims 25, 27, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson.

The amount of fluid the post of Peterson is capable of dispensing from the cartridge is dependent on the length of the cartridge/distance of the rear stopper from the end of the cartridge. In the case of a partially filled cartridge or a very long cartridge or a very thick stopper, the post would be capable of dispensing only a small amount of liquid, or only purging air from the cartridge. Therefore Peterson is considered capable of meeting the limitations of claims 25,27, and 33.

Page 4

6. Claims 20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest a needless injector with a power pack assembly, in combination with the other limitations of the claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/743,436

Art Unit: 3767

500 000 110 110 110 CT. 1077 40,4

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth R. MacNeill whose telephone number is (571)-272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-3:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM

Marchell 31107 KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Kevin C. Sermons